

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/839,222	04/23/2001	Jan Topholm	Q64068	4741
75	90 06/28/2004		EXAM	INER
SUGHRUE, MION, ZINN,			FREJD, RUSSE	ELL WARREN
MACPEAK & SEAS, PLLC 2100 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20037-3213		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2128	

DATE MAILED: 06/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary		09/839,222	TOPHOLM, JAN
		Examiner	Art Unit
		Russell Frejd	2128
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SH THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status			
	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 De</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposit	ion of Claims		
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)⊠ 8)□ Applicat	Claim(s) <u>54</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) <u>2-54</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or ion Papers The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access	r election requirement. r. epted or b)□ objected to by the E	
11)[Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
a)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioric application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachmen	• •		
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔯 Inforr	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) or No(s)/Mail Date 4/23/01, 12/13/02.	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	

Serial Number: 09/839,222 Page 2

In re Application of: Topholm

Examination of Application #09/839,222

1. Claims 1-54 of application 09/839,222, filed on 23-April-2001, are presented for examination.

Double Patenting Rejection

2. The non-statutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.3218 may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claim 1 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 1 of U.S. Patent No. 5,487,012, issued to Topholm et al. on 23-January-1996. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not

Serial Number: 09/839,222 Page 3

In re Application of: Topholm

patentably distinct from each other because the present invention and the patent are each directed to a system for designing and manufacturing a hearing aid housing comprising at least one part, i.e. a face plate and a shell, that is individually matched to the auditory canal of a user.

Claim Rejections under 35 U.S.C. § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- **4.1** Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Topholm et al., hereinafter Topholm, in U.S. Patent no. 5,487,012.
- **4.2** Topholm disclosed the invention as claimed, including:

the tracing of the shape of the auditory canal and conversion of the values obtained into digital form [col. 2, lines 46-48] (receiving and processing auditory canal shape data);

conversion of the digital representation of the shape into a three dimensional or multi-dimensional computer model of the outer shape of the otoplasty (i.e. shell) or adaptive earpiece [col. 2, lines 50-53] (forming a three-dimensional model of the shell based on the data); and computer-controlled production of an otoplasty or adaptive earpiece, including the various components/functions, including a cover plate [col. 3, line 53], from the data obtained from the three-dimensional computer representation [col. 2, lines 59-62] (outputting model data for production of the shell and the face plate).

In re Application of: Topholm

Claim Objections

5. The remaining claims 2-54, are objected to for incorporating the rejection of claim 1 by dependency.

Response Guidelines

- 6. A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to expire 3 (three) months and 0 (zero) days from the date of this letter. Failure to respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned (see MPEP 710.02, 710.02(b)).
- 7. Any response to the Examiner in regard to this non-final action should be
 - directed to: Russell Frejd, telephone number (703) 305-4839, Monday-Friday from

0630 to 1500 ET, **or** the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Teska, telephone number (703) 305-9704. Any inquiry of a general nature should be directed to the Tech Center 2100 receptionist, telephone number (703) 305-3900. The TC 2100 Customer Service telephone

number is (703) 306-5631.

mailed to: Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to: (703) 872-9306

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington. VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Date: 22-June-2004

RUSSELL FREJD PRIMARY EXAMINER

RUSSEU FREJS